

**Reviewer Instructions for the Resource Management Strategies.**

Thank you for taking the time to review the Resource Management Strategies; your thoughts and effort will improve the California Water Plan Update 2013. This March, these Resource Management Strategies are being circulated primarily amongst the active participants in the Water Plan process, our standing committees and caucuses. When your feedback is incorporated, it will be re-released to the broad public.

Given the short feedback period, and our plans for additional feedback later, we ask that you focus your reviews this round. We welcome feedback with an emphasis on:

- Please do not comment on grammar or formatting; these versions will receive more editing later this year;
- Please point out opportunities for updating the RMS. If you are aware of relevant new projects, legislation, or developments, it would be great to hear about those;
- Please also point out new technologies that are relevant to an RMS;
- Please make suggestions for simplifying the recommendations;
- If you have suggestions for metrics that could measure progress for an RMS, we would like to lay the groundwork to include those in the next Progress Report and the Water Plan Update 2018.

Submit your feedback to the California Water Plan email address: [cwpcom@water.ca.gov](mailto:cwpcom@water.ca.gov) by April 15<sup>th</sup>. They'll be given to our Subject Matter Experts to incorporate into their RMS. If you have any questions, please contact Megan Fidell at [mfidell@water.ca.gov](mailto:mfidell@water.ca.gov).

**Chapter Details — Draft**

*Authors or volume leads, please provide context and input to the publications staff in the space below. [Any notes to authors from the editing staff are provided within the text in gray highlighting or as comments.]*

<i>Volume and chapter number</i>	Volume 3, Chapter 4, “Conveyance”
<i>Management objective</i>	Improve Operational Efficiency and Transfers
<i>Contact person</i>	<i>Teresa Geimer for edits to this draft.</i>
<i>Notes to editor</i>	<p><i>Perhaps this chapter needs an update about the new political process since 2009.</i></p> <p><i>Some mention of the Delta Stewardship Council is probably appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>Are the dollar amounts for conveyance alternatives current?</i></p>
<i>Design/graphics information</i>	<i>Type any notes about the status of graphics, or any suggestions about photos/figures, here.</i>
<i>Box information</i>	<p>This chapter has three boxes. For Update 2009, it had four, but one of them was the box of acronyms/abbreviations, and publications staff will be compiling that list anew for Update 2013 and including it in the table of contents area rather than as a box. The box numbering has been changed to reflect this and also has been changed in the body text of the chapter.</p> <p>The remaining three boxes that accompany this chapter are:</p> <p>Box 4-1, “2-Gates Fish Protection Demonstration Project”</p> <p>Box 4-2, “Franks Tract Project”</p> <p>Box 4-3, “Flexible Operations Enhances Water Supply Reliability”</p>
<i>Table information</i>	This chapter has no tables at this time.
<i>Glossary entries</i>	<i>Type any terms/definitions here that you would like to see included in the glossary. Please ensure they are defined in the text of this chapter, too.</i>
<i>Captions</i>	<i>Type any captions for known photos here. Include the image’s file name or description of photo.</i>
<i>Recommended pull quotes</i>	<i>Suggest pull quotes for this chapter here. (Pull quotes are bits of text that will be repeated in margins or elsewhere on the page, in a different font/size from the rest of the text. Typically, they should be no more than a couple of sentences and should be interesting/intriguing enough to serve as an additional entry point to pull readers in.) (Copy and paste pull quotes from the text of the chapter.)</i>
<i>Column notes</i>	<i>If additional information needs to appear in the margins (e.g., directional notes to readers, perhaps telling them where to find related content in other sections of Update 2013), type that information here and indicate what portion of the chapter it should accompany.</i>

## Chapter 4. Conveyance — Delta

Conveyance provides for the movement of water. Conveyance infrastructure includes natural watercourses as well as constructed facilities like ditches, flumes, canals and pipelines, including control structures such as weirs. Examples of natural watercourses include streams, rivers, and groundwater aquifers. Conveyance facilities range in size from small local end-user distribution systems to the large systems that deliver water to or drain areas as large as multiple hydrologic regions. Conveyance facilities may also require associated infrastructure such as pumping plants and power supply, diversion structures, fish ladders, and fish screens.

Conveyance through the Delta, located at the confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, naturally carries water westward from the upstream water drainage basins to the bays connected to the Pacific Ocean. The Delta, however, is also a highly manipulated network of natural streams and sloughs as well as constructed channels bordered by levees to prevent flooding of adjacent islands (see Figure 4-1, Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta). The Delta is a critical element of both regional (e.g., Folsom South Canal) and interregional (the federal Central Valley Project and State Water Project) water conveyance systems and is essential to sustaining the state's economy.

The demands upon the Delta conveyance system are many. They include provision of beneficial uses such as agricultural supply, industrial service supply, industrial process supply, navigation, recreation, sport fishing, habitat, migration of aquatic species, estuarine habitat, flood water conveyance, wetland habitat, and municipal and domestic water supply. The State Water Project (SWP) and Central Valley Project (CVP) rely on the Delta conveyance system to provide water at their diversion facilities in the south Delta for beneficial uses in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Valley, and Southern California. Similarly, the Contra Costa Water District, East Bay Municipal Utility District, and others rely on the Delta as a source of supply or transportation corridor for their water supply facilities. Other in-Delta diverters include the City of Stockton and local farmers. In addition, the East Bay Municipal Utility District and San Francisco Public Utilities Commission divert water upstream of the Delta and bypass the Delta by transporting the water in aqueducts directly to their service areas. A more thorough discussion of regional conveyance issues is discussed in the resource management strategy Conveyance Regional/Local of this volume.

The water flowing through the Delta to meet user needs must also be carefully managed to meet water quality standards set by the California State Water Resources Control Board. Some of the major constituents of concern are salinity, organic carbon, nutrients, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and turbidity. Special attention must also be given to meeting the needs of State and federally listed species of concern within the Delta. CVP and SWP operators help manage inflows, their exports, and in-Delta structures to help control water as it moves into and through the Delta to meet the varying and growing demands.

All activities affecting or related to meeting the many demands on the Delta conveyance system will require thoughtful consideration of the Delta ecosystem health and how these actions will impact species of concern. Continuing declines in some native species populations, migrating through or living in the Delta, will increase the influence of the Delta ecosystem on exported water supply reliability, especially to the CVP and SWP. This influence has been highlighted with the ongoing investigations concerning the decline of pelagic Delta fish populations and associated federal court rulings. The recommendations of

the pelagic organism investigations to reverse the population trend and the federal court decisions to protect salmon runs and dwindling populations of delta smelt negatively impact major water supply exports. Any future activity proposed for Delta conveyance will need to consider the restoration and preservation of native habitat to benefit pelagic organisms and other native species.

The use of the Delta as a water supply conveyance requires that future planning achieve an optimal approach to operate and maintain natural channels and constructed conveyance infrastructure. This goal will require water managers, planners, engineers, and biologists to continue efforts to increase identification and understanding of the relationships between hydrodynamics, flow timing, fish timing and movement, water temperature, geomorphology, water quality, environmental responses, global climate change, and other conveyance-related considerations. They must also operate the conveyance facilities consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine while complying with various laws, regulatory processes, and statutes such as the Water Code's Areas of Origin statutes, riparian and appropriative water rights, the California Environmental Quality Act, the National Environmental Protection Act, the Clean Water Act, and the State and federal Endangered Species Acts. Any proposed construction or operation of new facilities will be constrained by the same policy, legal and regulatory framework.

## Potential Benefits of Delta Conveyance

Generally, regional and interregional conveyance facilities provide a variety of benefits, including flood management, consumptive and non-consumptive environmental uses, water quality improvement, recreation, operational flexibility, and urban and agricultural water management.

The main benefits of Delta conveyance are in maintaining or increasing water supply reliability, protecting water quality, and providing water system operational flexibility. For the environmental sector, benefits include in-stream flows, appropriate water temperatures, and water quality for aquatic and riparian habitat. It is important to recognize that, in some cases, improving water supply reliability through operational flexibility or improving water quality is just as valuable as increasing overall supply.

Other specific benefits include:

- Optimal water supply conveyance through the Delta enables the success of the other resource management strategies.
- Reliable conveyance is needed for water transfers between willing buyers and sellers.
- Conveyance is also needed to fill new groundwater and/or offstream surface storage and later to deliver the stored water to the end users.
- Conveyance can improve water quality by moving more water when water quality conditions are better or less impacted by the movement of water, or by supplementing natural river flows and preventing excessive saltwater intrusion that can impair established beneficial uses and harm legal users of water in the Delta.
- Given the high-intensity, short duration characteristics of California's hydrology, improved conveyance capacities combined with adequate surface water or groundwater storage can enable diversions of more water during high flow, less competitive periods, and consequently reduce the pressure to divert water during low flow, highly competitive periods. This strategy could have additional benefits as an adaptation to future climate change.
- Conveyance improvements can provide the operational flexibility to divert and move water at times and from places that are less harmful to fisheries or to reliably transport environmental

water supplies to locations where or at times when it can benefit fish and water quality.

- Water quality in the Delta may be enhanced through sophisticated management projects controlling source water mixing and reducing salinity intrusion from seawater.

Other benefits of conveyance improvements, which can vary by specific location and hydrology, generally include:

- Enlarged and enhanced conveyance systems may increase flood control capability with higher and more controlled flow through the Delta.
- Increases in water use efficiency decrease the water demand for a given region and reduce demand for conveyance through the Delta. As a result, system-wide reliability improves by reducing the burden on the Delta.
- Redundancy in the Delta conveyance system will provide increases in resiliency and may, therefore, ensure some continuation of services during extreme events such as a long-term drought or following a catastrophic seismic event in the Delta.
- A larger conveyance will allow more pumping of water at optimal times, when energy costs are lower, and decrease pumping at peak energy demand periods, when energy costs are higher. Energy costs for pumping at night, for example, are less than costs during daytime when California's energy demand peaks for industrial and air conditioning uses. Project analyses will need to consider that some benefits may be offset by costs to enhance or increase conveyance capacity.
- Conveyance improvements may allow for better matching of water quality for different beneficial uses. For example, conveyance of fresher river water at times of abundant supplies for municipal, industrial and agricultural purposes could allow for greater salinity fluctuation at times of reduced supplies for ecosystem benefits in some parts of the Delta.
- Streams and channels enlarged for conveyance and flood passage may incorporate riparian habitat improvements that are designed for varying hydrology (including climate change) and operations.

## Potential Costs of Conveyance

Potential costs for conveyance can include both facility construction and operating maintenance costs that can be a significant portion of the costs in a water management system. These costs depend on the local circumstances, how far and when the water needs to be conveyed, and topography (for example, pumping vs. gravity flow). Direct project costs also may include financing the capital investment. Other costs incurred by a project may include external costs, such as environmental stresses caused by construction and increased operation and maintenance costs of the project. However, the benefits of Delta conveyance improvements that improve environmental management, water supply reliability, and operational flexibility may outweigh project costs.

DWR prepared "An Initial Assessment of Dual Delta Water Conveyance" for the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force. This report identified a range of costs for through-Delta improvements from \$1.2 billion to \$8.6 billion. The estimated costs for an isolated facility with a capacity up to 15,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) ranged from \$4.2 billion to \$7.4 billion for an eastern or western alignment, respectively. The eastern alignment cost includes a canal, intake, fish screen, pumping plant, control structure, siphons, bridges, culverts, utility relocation, railway impacts, forebay, land, some mitigation, no operation and maintenance, and no planning. The western alignment cost includes a canal, pipeline, pumping plant, pipeline, tunnel, forebay, no mitigation, no operation and maintenance, and no planning. A combination

of an isolated facility with through-Delta improvements ranged from \$5.4 billion to \$17.2 billion depending on alignment and degree of levee improvements selected for the through-Delta. The more expensive through-Delta improvement alternative would include levee earthwork, setback levees, channel dredging as well as intake, siphon, and operable gate components.

## Major Issues and Considerations Facing Conveyance

Managing California's water conveyance necessitates persistent efforts to address chronic issues, such as maintenance of an aging infrastructure, while simultaneously addressing new issues, such as decreased Delta smelt population. Current Bay-Delta planning efforts to address Delta Conveyance issues such as Delta Vision, the CALFED Science Program, and the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan include plans to meet the needs of water supply for consumptive use as well as the needs of the Delta ecosystems. Additional efforts to protect the Delta conveyance system also involve emergency planning for flood events, levee maintenance to increase levee integrity, and climate change impact assessment to better predict future conveyance infrastructure needs.

Maintaining optimal water quality within the Delta for both drinking water and for native species habitat will be a challenge. Control of water quality in a tidal estuary with fluctuating hydrology from season to season and year to year will require well understood and fully inclusive strategies.

### Maintenance

It is essential, at a minimum, to maintain the current level of conveyance capacity for both natural channels and constructed facilities. Substantial reinvestment will be required just to maintain the current level of benefits due to aging infrastructure as well as diminishing conveyance capacity in natural watercourses. This is critical from both a water supply and flood passage standpoint for channels in the Delta. Diminishing conveyance capacity is also a problem for flood management facilities such as bypasses that over time fill with silt, debris and plant growth that reduce the effectiveness for passing flood waters. In addition, rivers and streams depend upon a watershed that is in good condition. This is likely to take on very significant importance over time due to the increasingly higher costs of maintenance and the increasing demands of a growing population.

### Science and Planning

Various programs have been studying Delta issues and expect to develop plans to improve the operation of the state's conveyance systems with a balanced approach to meet the needs of its people and the environment. These studies in the Delta are particularly challenging because of potentially competitive demands on conveyance, such as needs for flood control improvements, for water quality improvements, for adequate water supply, and for Delta fisheries and habitat provisions.

The projects within the CALFED Conveyance Program included in the CALFED Record of Decision issued in 2000 are based upon a through-Delta conveyance approach and include the evaluation of a through-Delta facility, Delta Cross Channel Reoperation, Franks Tract Project, permanent operable gates in the South Delta, south of Delta SWP/CVP aqueduct intertie, and CCWD Alternative Intake Project.

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger issued an Executive Order on September 17, 2006, creating the Delta Vision process to find a durable vision for sustainable management of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.



At the end of 2008, the Delta Vision Committee submitted its final Implementation Report based on the detailed recommendations of the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force's Strategic Plan on how the California Delta should be managed to fulfill its co-equal goals of water supply reliability and ecosystem restoration. The Implementation Report states that actions inherent to water supply reliability must include a reliable conveyance facility including "A new system of dual water conveyance through and around the Delta to protect municipal, agricultural, environmental, and other beneficial uses of water." However, the Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC) report, Comparing Futures for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, issued in February 2008 recommended transitioning from through-Delta pumping to other export strategies including construction of a peripheral canal to meet long-term economic and environmental objectives. While the PPIC report also recommended moving away from levees for managing Delta land and water, the Delta Vision Implementation Report recommended a comprehensive long-term levee investment strategy that matches the level of protection provided by Delta levees to the uses of land and water enabled by those levees. In addition, the Implementation Report included a recommendation to evaluate and begin construction on Delta gates and barriers that improve water quality, water supply reliability and ecosystem function. The Implementation Report also recommends completion of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan and associated environmental assessments followed by implementation of conveyance improvements and associated ecosystem restoration projects.

The purpose of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP) is to create a stable regulatory framework to conserve and recover at-risk native species and natural communities in the Delta and provide water supply reliability. A joint Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan is being developed through a collaborative process with water users, State and federal agencies, and non-governmental organizations. The BDCP will examine how to improve the design and operation of the State and federal water projects over both the short term and the long term and implement a major program for restoring and managing habitats within the Delta. The BDCP proposes substantial alterations to water conveyance infrastructure in the Delta that parallel recommendations from the Governor's Delta Vision Committee's Implementation Report. Specific conservation measures for both near-and long-term implementation include, but are not limited to, the following items for conveyance:

- Operate South Delta diversions to maintain Old and Middle River flows for environmental benefits
- Operate the Delta Cross Channel gates for environmental benefits
- Install and operate gates at Old River and Connection Slough to reduce the transport of State and federally listed species of concern into the interior Delta and improve water quality in the south and central Delta. See Box 4-1, 2-Gates Fish Protection Demonstration Project
- Construct a new water diversion facility in the north Delta with multiple intakes and fish screens along with an isolated conveyance facility
- Preferentially operate the isolated conveyance facility while maintaining sufficient bypass flows for State and federally listed species of concern and operate the dual conveyance facilities to maintain Delta water quality and protect fish species of concern.

The CALFED Surface Storage Program is studying increases in upstream-of-Delta reservoir storage to increase management and statewide system flexibility. This system flexibility is expected to contribute to increased survival of anadromous fish and improve Delta water quality, ecosystem restoration, and water supply reliability. To ensure the increased water to be stored in these or other proposed storage projects can be delivered to meet these needs, a reliable conveyance system will be needed.

Characterization of dredged sediment contamination is being evaluated by the US Army Corps of Engineer's Long-term Management Strategy as part of ongoing Delta channel and levee maintenance work. DWR's Delta Risk Management Strategy (DRMS) will evaluate and recommend levee standards for the Delta to increase through-Delta water supply reliability. A more thorough discussion of the DRMS is presented in Volume 1 Chapter 4.

### Areas of Origin Interests

Interregional movement of water is sometimes opposed by the water users or agencies located in the watershed where the water supply originates. In addition to struggling to augment local water supplies to meet growing demands, areas of origin interests often feel that downstream water users could or should be more committed to assisting in managing the environment, such as the watersheds from which their imported water supply originates. Delta conveyance planning considerations will need to ensure water supplies in the areas of origin are protected consistent with applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

### Climate Change

#### Mitigation

#### Adaptation

Addressing climate change will be a challenge as precipitation patterns may change as well as future water needs. Predicted effects of climate change include warmer air temperatures, diminishing snowpack, increased evaporation, and seasonal changes in water availability. Warmer temperatures will reduce dissolved oxygen levels, hindering the health of State and federally listed species of concern such as salmon. This will also adversely promote algal blooms and microbial growth, negatively affecting drinking water quality. Less precipitation is estimated to fall in the colder winter season reducing contributions to the snowpack, and more precipitation is estimated to fall later into a warmer spring season resulting in increased frequency and intensity of rainfall. This climate change scenario may require larger conveyance capacity and reservoir storage to successfully manage water for flood prevention and long-term water use. Further demands upon conveyance operations would also arise from a prediction of a wider range of extremes of water year types.

Studies indicate wetter years will be wetter and drier years will be drier than those in recent record. In the Delta, a combination of higher outflow in wet years with projected sea level rise would increase the burden on levees. In drier years a sea level rise would increase salinity intrusion into the Delta and thus impact in-Delta water quality and water supply reliability.

### Water Supply Reliability

Over the past several decades, increasing demand for the Delta's resources have increased the conflict between the needs of water users and efforts to sustain the estuary's aquatic ecosystem and support recovery of State and federally listed fish. These conflicts have led to a crisis regarding the ability to protect Delta fisheries, maintain water quality, and meet the needs of both in-Delta and export area agricultural and municipal water users. This situation has resulted in the need to address these competing beneficial uses and sustainability concerns.

Delta export reliability hinges on first satisfying water quality requirements for native Delta fish and the



criteria for in-Delta flow and water quality standards. The in-Delta water quality conditions will fluctuate with seawater intrusion, the quality and quantity of river and small stream inflows, in-Delta water management operations, and export pumping operations. Required inflows to the in-Delta ecosystem will also depend on the health of indigenous species and invasive species management actions.

Existing Delta conveyance does not provide long-term reliability to meet current and projected needs. Conveyance through the Delta in times of drought is especially challenging considering the various demands from agriculture, municipalities, and environmental needs. To improve through-Delta conveyance water supply reliability and provide greater operational flexibility, improvements to existing facilities in the form of updating aging infrastructure, upgrading existing capacities, adding redundancy to the system and constructing additional facilities may be needed.

The major issues pertaining to reliability of water supply transferred through the Delta include the following items.

- The health of the Delta ecosystem is paramount in consideration of water-related activities within the Delta. Continuing declines in some native species populations migrating through or living in the Delta, such as salmon and delta smelt, highlight the increasing influence of the Delta ecosystem on water supply reliability. Any activity proposed for Delta conveyance will need to consider the restoration and preservation of native habitat to benefit pelagic organisms and other native species.
- The integrity of more than 385 miles of Project levees and over 730 miles of non-Project levees throughout the Delta is continually undermined by such elements as storm events creating floods and seawater surges, island subsidence, natural levee erosion, poor quality peat soils used to build the original levees, seismic activity, burrowing animals, and sea level rise. These vulnerabilities call into question the long-term sustainability of using the Delta as a conveyance corridor. DWR's forthcoming Delta Risk Management Strategy Phase II report will recommend levee standards for the Delta to increase through-Delta water supply reliability and reduce risks to water conveyance and other values in the Delta overall. In addition, DWR has developed an emergency response plan that has put in place tools to protect the Delta.
- Maintaining optimal water quality within the Delta for both drinking water and for native species habitat will be a challenge. Constituents of concern include, but are not limited to, salinity, bromide, chloride, organic carbon, nutrients, pathogens, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and turbidity. Control of water quality in a tidal estuary with seasonal and yearly fluctuating hydrology will require well understood and fully inclusive strategies. As water quality requirements can vary and at times conflict among users, the challenge will be to agree upon the implementation strategy.
- Maintenance of in-Delta projects for beneficial uses such as recreational boating and swimming; sport fishing; shipping; and agriculture, industrial, and drinking water supply will be an ongoing management challenge as political and fiscal climates evolve and resources for competing priorities become scarcer.

Implementation of major conveyance facilities and improvements within the Delta will be financially costly. The federal, State, and local water agencies will need to combine their efforts to agree on project goals, plans, benefit/cost analysis, as well as financing mechanisms such as bonds and user fees.

## Recommendations to Improve and Protect Delta Conveyance

The following recommendations apply to federal, State, and local water agencies:

1. DWR should use the Improvement Strategies from Phase II of the Delta Risk Management Strategy to guide their actions to improve the aging Delta infrastructure to reduce risks and consequences of levee failures.
2. DWR should use the conveyance recommendations from the Delta Vision Task Force and Bay-Delta Conservation Plan to guide their actions to increase operational flexibility and conveyance reliability through the Delta to benefit water supply as well as aquatic ecosystems.
3. DWR should take the lead to reduce energy needs through improved operational efficiency. Power consumption can be reduced through facilities maintenance and improvements such as upgrades to export pumps. Water treatment plant power consumption and chemical usage could also be reduced by improving Delta water quality with structures such as operational barriers reducing seawater intrusion.
4. Establish performance metrics relating quantitative measurements (such as the quantity of water deliveries for agricultural and urban users or miles of rehabilitated conveyance facilities) to qualitative indicators (such as resiliency of conveyance to earthquakes or fewer regulatory conflicts).
5. Ensure adequate resources to maintain the existing capacity and condition of natural channels and constructed conveyance facilities. This may include development of a strategy to maintain channel capacity in the Delta and existing floodways as well as financial support for regional, interregional, and Delta conveyance improvements.
6. Provide requested resources to support implementation of conveyance recommendations from the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force and Bay-Delta Conservation Plan that are consistent with the 2009 Comprehensive Water Package approved by the Legislature and Governor.

## Conveyance in the Water Plan

*[Authors, this is a new heading for Update 2013. If necessary, this section will discuss the ways the resource management strategy is treated in this chapter, in the regional reports and in the sustainability indicators. If the three mentions aren't consistent, the reason for the conflict will be discussed (i.e., the regional reports are emphasizing a different aspect of the strategy). If the three mentions are consistent with each other (or if the strategy isn't discussed in the rest of Update 2013), there is no need for this section to appear.]*

## References

*[Authors, for Update 2013, the "References" section will have the following subheadings: "References Cited" (for references that have in-text citations), "Additional References" (for additional materials that either the author consulted but did not cite or that readers may appreciate generally), and "Personal Communications" (for personal communications that you have documented using the form for that purpose; if you have not documented such communications, just use attribution in the narrative and do not include an entry in the bibliography). For now, the references provided for Update 2009 have been placed under the "References Cited" subhead. If they are no longer cited in the text after the text has been updated for 2013, place them under the "Additional References" subheading instead or delete them altogether. In general, legal references (statutes, codes, acts, etc.) do not need to be included within this section and can instead be described within the narrative above. Additional guidance on references and*

*citations is contained within California Water Plan Update 2013: Publications Process and Style Guide, available from volume leads.]*

## References Cited

Areas of Origin. Water Code Section 11460-11465, 1215-1222.

CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2007. Draft CALFED end of stage 1 staff report. Sacramento (CA): Bay-Delta Authority. 23 p. Available at:  
[http://www.calwater.ca.gov/content/Library/EndofStage/Draft\\_EOStage\\_1\\_11-8-07.pdf](http://www.calwater.ca.gov/content/Library/EndofStage/Draft_EOStage_1_11-8-07.pdf)

CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2008. CALFED conveyance program plan – year 9. Sacramento (CA): Bay-Delta Authority. 18 p. Available at:  
[http://calwater.ca.gov/content/Documents/library/ProgramPlans/2008/Conveyance\\_Program\\_Plan\\_Year\\_9.pdf](http://calwater.ca.gov/content/Documents/library/ProgramPlans/2008/Conveyance_Program_Plan_Year_9.pdf)

CALFED Bay-Delta Science Program. 2008. State of the Bay-Delta science. Sacramento (CA): Bay-Delta Authority. 166 p. Available at: <http://www.science.calwater.ca.gov/publications/sbds.html>

California Department of Water Resources, Bay-Delta Office, Delta Conveyance Branch. 2007. Delta conveyance improvement studies summary report. Sacramento (CA): Department of Water Resources. 46 p. Available at:  
[http://www.water.ca.gov/frankstract/docs/Delta\\_Conveyance\\_Summary\\_Report\\_121007.pdf](http://www.water.ca.gov/frankstract/docs/Delta_Conveyance_Summary_Report_121007.pdf)

California Department of Water Resources, Bay-Delta Office, Delta Conveyance Branch. 2007. Value engineering study final report - through Delta facility. Sacramento (CA): Department of Water Resources. 109 p. Available at:  
<http://baydeltaoffice.water.ca.gov/ndelta/TDF/documents/Through%20Delta%20Facility%20Final%20VE%20Report.pdf>

California Department of Water Resources. 2007. Delta risk management strategy. Phase I risk analyses: summary report draft. Sacramento (CA): Department of Water Resources. 42 p. Available at:  
[http://www.water.ca.gov/floodmgmt/dsmo/sab/drmsp/phase1\\_information.cfm](http://www.water.ca.gov/floodmgmt/dsmo/sab/drmsp/phase1_information.cfm)

California Department of Water Resources. 2008. An initial assessment of dual Delta water conveyance. Final Draft. Prepared for the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force. Sacramento (CA): Department of Water Resources. 40 p. Available at:  
[http://www.deltavision.ca.gov/BlueRibbonTaskForce/April2008/Handouts/Item\\_5d\\_Report.pdf](http://www.deltavision.ca.gov/BlueRibbonTaskForce/April2008/Handouts/Item_5d_Report.pdf)

California Natural Resources Agency. 2009. Bay Delta conservation plan EIR/EIS. Chapter 3: conservation strategy. [Internet]. Working draft 2009 Jul. Sacramento (CA): Natural Resources Agency. [cited: 2009 Dec]. Available at:  
<http://www.baydeltaconservationplan.com/BDCPPages/BDCPInfoCurrentDocs.aspx>

[CEQA]. California Environmental Quality Act. Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. (1970).

[CESA]. California Endangered Species Act. Fish and Game Code Section 2050-2068 (1985).

[CWA]. federal Clean Water Act. 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq. (1972).

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force. 2008. Delta Vision Committee final implementation report. Summary Recommendation to the Governor. 2008 Dec 31. Sacramento (CA): Natural Resources Agency. 19 p. Available at: <http://deltavision.ca.gov/DeltaVisionNewsRoom.shtml>

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force. 2008. Our vision for the California Delta. Final Report. Sacramento (CA): Resources Agency. 70 p. Available at: [http://www.deltavision.ca.gov/BlueRibbonTaskForce/FinalVision/Delta\\_Vision\\_Final.pdf](http://www.deltavision.ca.gov/BlueRibbonTaskForce/FinalVision/Delta_Vision_Final.pdf)

[ESA]. federal Endangered Species Act. 16 U.S.C. §1531 et seq. (1973).

[NEPA]. National Environmental Policy Act. 42 U.S.C. §4321 et seq. (1969).

[Prop 84]. Water quality, safety and supply. Flood control. Natural resource protection. Park improvements. Bonds. Initiative statute. Also known as The Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (2006).

[SBx7 1]. Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009. (2009).

US Army Corps of Engineers. 1999. Long-term management strategy - Record of Decision. [Internet]. [cited: 2009 Oct 30]. 9 p. Available at: <http://www.spn.usace.army.mil/ltms/rod799.pdf>

## Additional References

## Personal Communications

**The text below is for publications staff use only. This text will not appear in the final version of this document.**

*Publications staff: Acronyms and abbreviations will be presented for each chapter individually. References for citations will appear within each chapter, as well. Use the lists below to create acronym tables for each chapter, generate tables of contents, and crosscheck information within the text.*

*Right-click in the field and then select “Update Field” and “Update Entire Table.”*

### **Table of Contents**

This is set up to generate a list of all text styled as “Update 2013 heading 1” through “Update 2013 heading 5.” It can be modified to collect any styles you want. You may change what it gathers by selecting the line of text below; right-clicking; and choosing Edit Field, Table of Contents, Options.

Chapter 4.     Conveyance — Delta.....	4-1
Potential Benefits of Delta Conveyance .....	4-2
Potential Costs of Conveyance .....	4-3
Major Issues and Considerations Facing Conveyance .....	4-4
Maintenance .....	4-4
Science and Planning .....	4-4
Areas of Origin Interests .....	4-6
Climate Change .....	4-6
Water Supply Reliability.....	4-6
Recommendations to Improve and Protect Delta Conveyance .....	4-8
Conveyance in the Water Plan.....	4-8
References .....	4-8
References Cited .....	4-9
Additional References.....	4-10
Personal Communications .....	4-10

### **Table Mentions**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as a table mention, using the letter T as the table identifier.

No table of figures entries found.

#### **Table Titles**

This is set up to generate a list of all text styled as “Update 2013 table title.”

No table of contents entries found.

#### **Figure Mentions**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as a figure mention, using the letter F as the table identifier.

No table of figures entries found.

#### **Figure Titles**

This is set up to generate a list of all text styled as “Update 2013 figure title.”

No table of contents entries found.

#### **Box Titles**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as a reference to a box, using the letter B as the table identifier.

No table of figures entries found.

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as an acronym/abbreviation, using the letter A as the table identifier.

No table of figures entries found.

#### **Citations**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as a citation, using the letter C as the table identifier.

No table of figures entries found.

#### **Miscellaneous**

This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as miscellaneous [river names, region names, or anything else you'd like to gather into a list], using the letter M as the table identifier.



No table of figures entries found.

**Glossary**

**This is set up to generate a list of all text marked as a glossary entry, using the letter Z as the table identifier.**

No table of figures entries found.